



## ECONOMICS OF COMBINING ORGANIC AND IN-ORGANIC FERTILIZERS FOR MAIZE PRODUCTION UNDER TWO TILLAGE SYSTEMS IN THE NORTHERN SAVANNA ZONE OF GHANA

John K. Bidzakin<sup>1</sup>, James M. Kombiok<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Saaka J. Buah<sup>1</sup> and Jean M. Sogbedji<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CSIR-Savanna Agricultural Research Institute, Tamale, Ghana

<sup>2</sup>IFDC Togo, Solayo, Lome, Togo

E-Mail: [bidzakin2@yahoo.com](mailto:bidzakin2@yahoo.com)

### ABSTRACT

Soils in the northern savanna zone of Ghana are poor in plant nutrients and are prone to compaction. Some amount of tillage and the application of fertilizers to enhance crop yields are necessary. The performance of maize on two tillage systems (Manual and bullock plough) with the application of different fertilizers was assessed for two years by a field experiment laid in a split-plot design with four replicates. The main plots were manual and bullock plough while the sub-plots were NPK (64 kg N/ha, 15 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and 15kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha), 6 tons/ha of animal manure, half the rate of in-organic and half the rate of animal manure and no fertilizer as the control in 2008 and 2009. The results showed that tillage loosened the soil and thus reduced soil bulk density, increased porosity and could have enhanced the availability of water and nutrients for better crop growth. The results further revealed that the application of animal manure alone increased maize yields in the second year by 57% as compared to 25% and 35% for the recommended fertilizer rates and half the rates respectively. However, maize yields from the recommended fertilizer rates and the half rates of both in-organic fertilizers and animal manure in each year were similar but significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than the manure and the control treatment plots. The application of recommended in-organic fertilizers rates gave yields which were similar to yields obtained from half rates of the in-organic and animal manure but for sustainability, the combination of both could be recommended to farmers for adoption. Comparably, the net benefit-cost ratios of both tillage systems are more than 1 indicating that maize production on each of them is profitable; however the bullock tillage system is a better option as it had higher net benefit-cost ratios in each year than the manual system.

**Keywords:** economic returns, tillage systems, in-organic fertilizers, organic fertilizers, maize, recommended rates, half rates.

### INTRODUCTION

Low grain yields of cereals especially maize in Northern Ghana have been attributed to poor soils. For the past decade, low fertility status of soils has been ranked first among the constraints collated from all the districts of northern region of Ghana at the regional planning sessions (RELC, 2010). In the Northern region of the country, land preparation for crop production is carried out manually by hand hoe, bullock plough, tractor and to some extent, the slash and burn method (zero tillage) with or without the use of herbicides (Ekboir *et al.*, 2002). Among these tillage systems, the hoe farming method is the most common tillage practice in Northern Ghana (Boboee, 1999).

Of late however, due to the intervention by several Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) to enhance the food security situation in this part of the country, many more farmers have been acquiring bullocks for land preparation (Ekekpi and Kombiok, 2006). The hand hoe tillage practice which has been described by Ofori (1993) as mainly for weed control probably because it is not deep enough as in bullock and tractor tillage systems suggests that the latter tillage practices can be beneficial in storing soil water for crop production in Northern Ghana.

The effect of the application of organic and in-organic fertilizers separately and in combination of both to enrich the soil of its nutrients for high grain yields of

cereals have been for the past years compared and results are available (Ayelew and Tesfeye, 2012). Similarly, the application of Farm yard manure and in-organic fertilizers separately and in combination of both to maize, showed a significant high fodder yield in the combined treatments over the organic or in-organic fertilizers alone (Oad *et al.*, 2004). Results from experiments in this direction indicate that the best yields of maize were obtained when half the recommended dose of in-organic fertilizer was combined with three tons (3 tons) of animal manure (organic fertilizer) and applied to the soil (SARI 2008). Also, on the effect of different sources of N on the yield of maize, Powell (2005) found increasing maize yields with increasing tillage depth and increasing N irrespective of its source.

There is therefore enough information on the role of integrated soil fertility management (ISFM), i.e. combined application of organic and mineral fertilizers on the yield of crops in Northern Ghana. However, information on the effect of ISFM on the yield of maize on different tillage systems and the economic returns of maize produced on these tillage systems in the northern region of Ghana is scanty.

The objectives of this study were therefore:

- To assess the yield and yield components of maize on the two commonly practiced tillage methods as



affected by the application of both organic and in-organic fertilizers.

- To assess and compare the economic returns of maize produced under the two systems with the application of organic and in-organic fertilizers.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Location and period of study

The trial was carried out during 2008 and 2009 on the experimental field of the Savanna Agricultural Research Institute (SARI) at Nyankpala located in northern Ghana (Lat 9° 25'N, Long 1° 00'W and at 183 m above sea level). The annual rainfall in this area is between 800 and 1200 mm. Maize (*cv Obatanpa*) which was obtained from the Crop Breeding Section of SARI was used as the test crop in the trial.

### Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with four replications. The main plots were tillage systems which were made up of manual (hand hoe) and bullock plough while the sub-plots were four: Recommended fertilizer rates of in-organic fertilizers (NPK and SA) as 64 kg N/ha, 15 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and 15kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, 6 tons/ha of animal manure, Half-rates of Recommended in-organic fertilizers (32 kg N/ha, 7.5 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and 7.5kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha) and half rate of animal manure (3 tons/ha) and a Control (No in-organic fertilizer and no animal manure applied). Each replicate had two (2) main plots (Bullock and Manual) and four (4) plots measuring 5 m by 4 m, representing the four sub-plot treatments which gave a total of thirty-two (32) experimental units for the four replications.

### Land preparation and planting

The experimental site was ploughed and harrowed twice on the same set of plots as per the tillage treatments in 2008 and 2009. The maize crop was sown on 15 July 2008 and on 10 July 2009. The maize was sown on flat in rows (80 cm x 40 cm) with 2 plants/hill. A net plot from the middle of each plot measuring 5 m x 2.25 m was used for grain-yield assessment. Before the planting of the maize crop, a composite sample of both the soil and the animal manure were analysed for NPK and pH.

### Cultural practices

To ensure proper seed germination, plots in the hand hoe treatments were loosened up with a hoe before maize seeds were planted. Plots were kept weed-free by hoeing manually three times before harvest.

In order to meet the various nutrient requirements as treatments for the experiment, the following were adhered to: (1) 5 bags/ha of compound fertilizer (15-15-15) representing the recommended in-organic fertilizer rates applied at planting as basal and top-dressed with 2.5 bags/ha of sulphate of Ammonia at 8 weeks after planting. The second plot received only 6 tons/ha of the animal manure at planting while the third plot had half rates of both the in-organic fertilizer and the animal manure i.e.

2.5 bag/ha of compound fertilizer (15-15-15) and 1.75 bags/ha of Sulphate of Ammonia combined with 3 tons/ha of the animal manure while the last plot never received any type of fertilizer as the control.

### Data collected on soil and the crop

**Soil properties:** Tillage depth, soil bulk density and soil porosity

Tillage depth of both bullock plough and manual systems were measured by gently pressing a metre rule into the soil in each plot and in each of the tillage system until the rule was no more penetrating. The graduation (mark) at the surface of the soil on the rule in each of the tillage practices was recorded as the depth of tillage of that system.

Soil bulk density was measured by the core method up to a depth of 30 cm. A core with known dimensions was used in collecting soil samples by pressing it down into the soil at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm randomly in each plot. These were each weighed and oven dried and weighed again. The bulk density was calculated by dividing the total weight of oven dried soil by the volume of the core. The porosity of the soil was then calculated by dividing bulk density obtained by the particle density of 2.65 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and subtracting the result from one (1) as:

$$f = \left(1 - \frac{P_b}{P_s}\right) \times 100\%$$

Where

$f$  = porosity

$P_b$  = bulk density of the soil

$P_s$  = particle density

**Crop parameters:** Plant height, stover weight and yield of maize

Plant height of maize was determined by randomly selecting four plants per plot. These plants were tagged and their heights taken bi-weekly throughout the growth period and average determined each time. Plant height measurement started at 2 weeks after planting (2 WAP) up to 8 weeks after planting (8 WAP). Before harvesting, the number of lodged maize plants was also counted in each plot to assess the effect of both tillage and fertilizer application on maize lodging.

After harvesting, the weight of maize straw (stover) was obtained from each net plot by placing in a sack and weighing it with a spring balance and the values expressed on a per hectare basis.

The shelling percent of maize was calculated as the weight of maize grains (xg) divided by the weight of the selected ears or cobs (zg) and expressed as a percentage:  $xg/zg \times 100$

In each plot, Cob length and number of grains/cob were determined. This was done by randomly selecting ten (10) ears or cobs and their lengths measured and an average found per cob. These ears were then



shelled and the number of grains/cob determined. To obtain the one-hundred seed weight of maize after shelling, 100 grains were randomly picked and weighed using an electronic scale.

The ears (cobs) of maize from each net plot were sun-dried after harvesting. The dried maize cobs were shelled to obtain grain yield (weight) per plot. Grains of maize were sun-dried to a moisture content of 10% after shelling. The grain yield per plot for each crop was determined and expressed on a hectare basis.

### Economic analyses

Economic analysis was carried out to compare the profitability of producing maize on both tillage systems using the same inputs. The crop enterprise budget technique developed by Wesley *et al.*, (1993) where the benefit-cost ratio of the two tillage systems was used to assess the economic returns on investment. The cost of each tillage system which was the basis of comparison and all other recommended variable inputs used in the study were considered. Crop prices and all input prices were surveyed in the study area using seasonal averages that prevailed in the study area during both cropping seasons.

The value of the maize crop was taken at harvesting periods and therefore there was no cost borne for storage. Variable costs were the actual prices paid by farmers in each year which included the different types of fertilizers. Net returns per hectare were then calculated as the difference between the gross income and total variable costs. The net benefit-cost ratio was therefore calculated by dividing the benefits by the total variable costs as:

$$\frac{B}{C} = \frac{NB}{TVC}$$

Where

B/C = benefit cost ratio  
NB = net benefit  
TVC = total variable cost

### Data analysis

Data were subjected to an analysis of variance for a split-plot design using GENSTAT to determine treatment effects. Treatments were considered fixed effects, years and replication were treated as random variables. Main effects and all the interactions were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$ . Means were separated with Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### Initial soil and animal manure properties

Results of the soil analysis at the start of the experiment in 2008 showed that the soil was made up of 75% sand, 12.6% silt and 12.2% clay. The soil identified as *Tingoli series* or *Ferric Luvisol* (FAO, 1998) is formed in-situ over weathered products of Voltaian sandstone on middle slope site of gentle sloping topography. It is deep, well drained, red in colour with a thin (<10cm) brown granular and humus-stained top-soil overlying a thick red, clayey, gravely sub-soil. It was further revealed that the top 0-15 cm of the soil is slightly acidic (pH 6.2) with generally low level of total nitrogen (0.042%), available P (11.0 mg/kg), Organic carbon 0.43% and exchangeable cations. The analysis of the manure used also showed N to be an average of 1.4 %, P (230 mg/kg) and K (1370 mg/kg).

### Meteorological data gathered at the experimental site

The annual rainfall at the experimental site in 2008 was 1,307.7 mm which was lower than in 2009 where the total rainfall recorded was 1,357.6 mm. In both years, the crops were planted in July but during the cropping season in 2008, the amount of rain received during the cropping season (July-November) was 766.5mm while in 2009, this was 1,044.8 mm. However, the number of rainy days recorded in 2008 was 78 which was higher than in 2009 where only 63 rainy days were experienced but with higher rainfall.

The sunshine (hours/day) in 2008 was 65.10 which was far higher than in 2009 where only 39.9 sunshine hours/day was recorded. The average maximum and minimum temperatures/day was 33.6°C and 28.10°C, respectively in 2008 while in 2009; this went up to 35.6°C and 29.3°C per day.

The interaction of years with treatments (tillage systems, fertilizers, and tillage and fertilizers) was not significant for any of the parameters measured across years (Table-1). However, it was found that average treatment effects were significant for shelling percentage, per cent lodged plants/ plot, cob length, grains/cob, 100 seed weight and grain yield of maize. In addition, year effects were also significant for these same parameters. Therefore, data for such parameters are presented separately for each year.

The parameters such as maize plant height at 2, 4, 6, and 8 Weeks after planting (WAP) which were not significant were pooled across the two years and only the main effects of treatments are presented in Table-1 below.

**Table-1.** Analysis of variance of the effect of Tillage Systems and fertilizers on Maize across two years in the northern savanna zone of Ghana in 2008 and 2009.

Sources of Variation	df	Plant ht at 2 WAP	Plant ht at 4WAP	Plant ht at 6 WAP	Plant ht at 8 WAP	Shelling %	Lodged plants/plot	Cob length	Grain /cob	100 Seed wt	Stover wt	Grain yield
Probability >F - value												
Years (Yr)	1	0.002	0.014	0.001	0.005	0.880	0.005	0.896	0.098	0.769	0.989	0.765
Replications	3	0.045	0.002	0.025	0.136	0.056	0.019	0.578	0.872	0.056	0.085	0.875
Tillage system (TS)	1	0.547	0.894	0.572	0.914	0.001	0.012	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.004
Yr x TS	1	0.965	0.589	0.780	0.875	0.525	0.910	0.630	0.540	0.568	0.945	0.752
Fertilizer (Fert)	3	0.623	0.545	0.752	0.541	0.001	0.005	0.012	0.004	0.016	0.001	0.001
Yr x Fert	3	0.562	0.665	0.856	0.913	0.845	0.854	0.741	0.612	0.568	0.849	0.652
TS x Fert	3	0.724	0.685	0.512	0.450	0.563	0.514	0.654	0.842	0.781	0.456	0.582
Yr x TS x Fert	3	0.586	0.752	0.465	0.521	0.924	0.845	0.524	0.691	0.874	0.568	0.745

**Effect of tillage and fertilizer on some soil properties:** Tillage depth, soil bulk density and porosity

Tillage systems in 2008 and 2009 significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) influenced the depth of tillage in the study (Table-2). The average depth of tillage recorded for the manual was 6 cm while the Bullock plough went as deep as 12 cm. It was therefore realized that using manual

tillage system reduced the depth of tillage by more than 50 %. The greatest working depth recorded by bullock plough compared to the manual system in this study could be linked to the findings of Mutua and Conwell (1999) that the depth at which a tillage implement reaches in the soil depends on the size, angle of attachment and the force applied to it.

**Table-2.** Tillage depth, soil bulk density and porosity as affected by tillage systems.

Tillage system	Tillage depth (cm)		Soil bulk density g cm <sup>-3</sup>				Soil porosity (%)			
	0-15		15-30				0-15		15-30	
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009
Bullock plough	12.3	11.5	1.26	1.38	1.64	1.62	52.45	47.90	38.11	38.87
Manual	5.8	6.3	1.45	1.50	1.62	1.64	45.30	43.40	38.86	38.11
LSD (0.05)	1.2	1.1	0.16	0.11	NS	NS	2.92	2.56	NS	NS

**Bulk density**

Both tillage systems significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected the bulk density of the soil in both years (Table-2). Bulk density values for 0-15 cm depth were generally lower than those of 15-30 cm in the two years. Within the 0-15 cm depth, the bulk density values of the bullock plough treatment were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower than the manual treatment. The bulk density values within the 15-30 cm depth for both tillage systems were higher than within 0-15 cm but no significant difference was found between the bullock and manual systems at that depth. The low soil bulk density values on the soil surface were due to the fact that the loosening of the soil in both systems was within the 5 cm soil depth. Deep tillage system has been found to be very important on easily compactable soils of the arid and semi-arid regions (Gupta and Gupta, 1986). Loosening the soil also implies more water can be stored in deeper layers of the soil. Thus, more water is always conserved for plants use because the pore discontinuity caused by tillage does not permit the water to move to the surface to be evaporated.

**Soil porosity**

Both the bullock plough and the manual systems had significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) influence on the soil porosity

(Table-2). Soil porosity values were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher within the depth of the 0-15 cm for bullock plough than the manual in both years because loosening effects were within that depth of the soil. However, within the 15-30 cm depth in both years, both tillage systems produced lower porosity values which were not significantly different. Higher porosity values also indicate lower bulk densities within the various tillage depths of both tillage systems implying that tillage tools enhanced total porosity of the soil. Observations made by Klute (1982) and Ike and Aremu (1990) which are similar to the findings of this study, showed that tillage practices lowered bulk densities and increased soil porosities which could have also led to the increase in water storage.

**Effect of tillage and fertilizer on maize growth and yield attributes of maize:** Plant height, shelling percentage of maize, cob length of maize, the number of Lodged plants/plot, 100 seed weight of maize.

Treatments x year interaction effects were not significant hence data were pooled across years (Table-3). Averaging across the two years there were significant differences in maize plant height among the treatments at 2 and 4 weeks after planting (WAP) but there were no significant differences at 6 and 8 WAP (Table-3). At 2 and



4 WAP, maize plants on the manual plots were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) shorter than on the bullock ploughed plots probably responding to the tillage depth. The depressed maize plant height in the early growth stage of maize on the manual tillage plots could be due to a reduction in rooting depth as a result of high bulk density of the soil. High bulk density of the soil in the manual treatment compared to the bullock plough could have affected the efficient absorption of water and nutrients since the manual tillage depth was just down to 5 cm. The result of this study is similar to the findings of Unger and McCalla (1980) where high bulk density significantly reduced plant height compared to low density soils. However, as the maize crop developed to maturity with

many more rains, the soil got more saturated with moisture and the differences in height disappeared.

Similarly, maize plant height at 2 and 4 WAP were significantly shorter on the no fertilizer treatment plots than those treated with in-organic and organic fertilizers alone or the combination of both. Among the fertilized plots, maize applied with organic fertilizer or manure was also significantly shorter than those applied with in-organic fertilizers alone or applied with the combination of in-organic and organic fertilizers. However, there was no significant difference in maize height between those applied with the combination of organic and in-organic fertilizers and those applied with only in-organic fertilizer alone.

**Table-3.** Mean Maize plant height (cm) as affected by tillage and different fertilizers averaged over 2008 and 2009.

Tillage System	2 WAP	4 WAP	6 WAP	8 WAP
Manual	16.700	37.00	89.50	157.30
Bullock Plough	19.60	41.50	93.70	160.60
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.85	1.95	9.15	NS
Fertilizer				
Recommended NPK +SA	17.90	39.00	88.10	158.35
Animal manure	15.90	32.90	84.20	156.30
Half rates of NPK+SA +AM	18.70	40.70	89.20	161.20
Control-No NPK/SA No AM	13.70	31.35	82.20	159.00
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.70	2.35	NS	NS

NPK= Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, SA=Sulphate of Ammonia, AM=Animal manure

Similarly, the application of the recommended fertilizer rates and the combination of organic and in-organic fertilizers significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased maize plant height over the control and manure only plots (Table-3). Nitrogen has been found to be very important in the growth and development of maize plant (Mehra, 2007). The readily available high amounts of N and P in the recommended fertilizer rate and in the combination of organic and in-organic fertilizer treatments as compared to the other treatments could have been responsible for these differences in maize height.

#### Effect of tillage methods and fertilizers on the shelling percentage of maize

Shelling percentage of maize is one of the components of yield of maize but the analysed data showed that both the tillage methods and the fertilizers

applied had no influence on the variable (Table-4). The non-significant influence of the various fertilizers on the shelling percentage of maize in this study agrees with the findings of Agboola and Kayode (1981) that the application of N and P has no effect on shelling percentage of maize. However, it disagrees with Olugunde and Ogunlela (1985) who reported that lower rates of N increases shelling percentage but decreases with increasing rates. These differences on the effects of the application of the various fertilizers on shelling percentage of maize was observed by Horrocks and Zuber (1970), to be due to the method of application, location, crop cultivar and cultural practices. The results of this study therefore suggest that the shelling percentage may be similar on all the plots both in the manual and Bullock plough and on all the sub-experimental units at this particular location of the study.

**Table-4.** Effect of tillage method and different fertilizers on the shelling percentage (%), lodged plants/plot, cob length (cm) and number of grains/cob of maize.

Tillage system	Shelling %		Lodged plants/plot		Cob length		Grains/cob	
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009
Manual	78.9	73.0	15	18	10.7	10.5	351.7	361.4
Bullock plough	75.6	71.6	10	13	11.0	11.7	470.2	365.2
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	NS	NS	4	4	0.25	0.68	60.28	45.60
<b>Fertilizer</b>								
Recommended NPK +SA	76.3	71.3	18	17	12.2	11.7	380.2	482.5
Animal Manure	77.1	74.2	16	16	10.0	9.8	278.9	414.2
Half rates of NPK+SA +AM	79.9	78.5	15	17	13.5	12.6	375.6	524.3
Control-No NPK/SA No AM	74.2	71.2	15	14	8.9	9.3	262.4	325.2
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.7	1.5	124.3	120.1

NPK= Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, SA=Sulphate of Ammonia, AM=Animal manure

#### Effect of tillage and different fertilizers on the cob length of maize

There was significant difference in maize cob length between the two tillage methods (manual and bullock plough) in the study (Table-4). In both years maize cob lengths on the bullock plough treatments were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) longer than those from the manual tillage systems. On the issue of the effects of different fertilizers on maize cob length, it was found that cobs were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) shorter on the control which were similar to those on the manure plots. However, the maize plants which were applied with recommended rates of inorganic fertilizer and half rates of fertilizer and animal manure had longer cobs with no significant difference in length between the two treatments. The significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) longer cobs of maize measured in the fertilizer treatments could be due to the presence of high amounts of N and P in these fertilizers as the manure contained only some small amounts of these elements which probably was not readily available to the plants. The application of N and P has been known to increase cob length with increasing rates of these nutrient elements (Kombiok *et al.* 2005 and Shah *et al.*, 2009). It was therefore not surprising that the shortest cobs of maize were found in the control where the available N was as low as 0.042 %.

#### Effect of tillage and different fertilizers on the number of Lodged plants/plot

It was observed that lodging of the maize plants were either from the roots or the stem. Comparably, the stem lodged plants were fewer than the root-lodged maize plants. The number of lodged maize plants in both years on the bullock plough and manual tillage systems were significantly different (Table-4). The number of lodged plants counted was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher on the manual than the bullock plough. The higher number of lodged plants on the manual tillage than the bullock plough plots could be due to the differences in the depth of tillage. The shallow depth of manual plough might have

caused the roots of the crop not to be firmly anchored as most of the lodging was at the rooting level. Deep tillage systems have been found to be responsible for firm anchorage of plants roots which also create favourable conditions for enhanced water storage and for good root development (Diaz-Zorita, 2000 and Kombiok, 2005).

The number of lodged maize plants was not significantly different among the fertilizer treatments (Table-4). The non-significance in the number of lodged maize plants among the treatments could be due to the availability of P. High level of P has been known to reduce lodging as it gives strength to cereals and therefore prevent them from lodging (Brady, 1974; Mehra, 2007).

#### Effect of Tillage and different fertilizers on the number of grains/cob of maize

The number of grains per cob of maize was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher on the bullock ploughed plots than the manual (Table-4). The number of grains counted in the control treatment (no fertilizer applied) was not different from the number of grains/cob of the manure treatment but these were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower than those on the recommended fertilizer rates and half rates of fertilizers and Manure. The higher number of grains/cob in the treatments involving in-organic fertilizers could be attributed to the higher N and P which are very essential for grain yield of maize.

#### Effect of tillage and different fertilizers on 100 seed weight of maize

Analysed data on 100 seed weight of maize in Table-5 showed that there was neither significant difference between bullock plough and manure nor among the various fertilizers applied. Chang (1976) and Matsushina (1976) in separate experiments found that 100 seed weight of maize has been one of the most important components of yield and has very strong correlation with grain yield but were not influenced by N and P application.

**Table-5.** Effect of tillage and different fertilizers on 100 seed weight, stover weight and maize grain yield.

Tillage System	100 Seed weight (g)		Stover Weight (kg/ha)		Grain yield (kg/ha)	
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009
Manual	22.4	27.5	6978.5	7375.2	1925.4	2446.3
Bullock plough	22.5	28.2	7852.4	8400.4	2317.6	2862.5
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	NS	NS	806.3	875.2	306.4	375.2
Fertilizer						
Recommended NPK +SA	23.3	27.8	6862.5	7537.1	1895.4	2324.3
Animal Manure	22.1	27.3	5381.4	6425.8	1278.4	2019.1
Half rates of NPK+SA +AM	23.2	27.6	7215.3	7854.2	1989.5	2700.4
Control-No NPK/SA No AM	22.0	27.0	42561.3	4892.4	795.6	1008.2
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	NS	NS	210.6	271.6	947.7	1017.4

NPK= Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, SA=Sulphate of Ammonia, AM=Animal manure

### Effect of tillage and different fertilizers on grain and stover yield of maize

In general, stover weight and grain yield of maize were higher in 2009 than in 2008 probably because of several reasons including the residual effects of both the tillage and the fertilizers applied in the previous year. Secondly, due to the difference in the amount of rainfall during the cropping seasons in both years. The amount of rainfall in 2008 during the cropping season was 766.5 mm while in 2009 this was more than 1000 mm with many more rainy days than in 2008. However, in years, the stover weight and grain yield of maize were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher in the bullock plough than the manual treatment (Table-4). The significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher values of these variables (stover weight and yield of maize) in the bullock plough than the manual treatment could be due to the greater working depth of the former tillage system. Deep tillage system creates favourable conditions which facilitates the in-take of more water and nutrients by the maize plant. In the arid and semi-arid zones, the general observation made by Nicou and Chopart (1979) and Ellmer *et al.*, (2000) was that crop dry matter and grain yield of crops increased with increasing tillage depth. This suggests that the deep tillage system such as the bullock plough in this study, made more water and nutrient available for dry matter production and the subsequent higher grain yield than the manual tillage system which was shallow.

The effects of tillage on crop production have been found to be its effects on soil parameters that influence growth, development and yield (Ermani *et al.*, 2002). From the above, the deep tillage as performed by the bullock plough reduced soil bulk density, and enhanced surface roughness which might have led to the increase in surface water storage, enhanced water distribution and provided easy access of plant roots to water and nutrients to the crop plants.

There were general increases in the yield and some components of yield of maize in 2009 as compared to 2008. It was observed that the percentage increase of maize yields on the manure plots in 2009 was as high as 57% compared to 2008. The percentage increases of maize yields for the recommended rates, half rates of fertilizers

and manure and control were 25%, 35% and 14% respectively. The increase in the control plots could be due to some spill over of the various treatments on to the plot. However, the increases in the yield and yield components of maize on the same treatment plots for two years indicate the positive nature of the residual effect of these treatments. With the highest percentage increase in the yield of maize obtained on the manure plots among the treatments in 2009, might be due to the slow decomposition of the organic matter and gradual release of the nutrients to the crop plants. Additionally, the presence of organic matter helps to trap and retain the available nutrients from the soil and making it available to the crop plants with time.

Maize yield components such as cob length and number of grains per cob have been found to be the two most important characters affected by the application of N and P (Ologunde and Ogunlela, 1984). It could therefore be explained that the significant increase of maize grain yield with treatments containing readily available N and P was probably due to their positive effects on these variables.

The application of manure only and the control plots gave similar stover weights and grain yields of maize but these were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower than those on the recommended fertilizer rates and half rates of fertilizers and the manure plots (Table-4). Also, the stover weights and grain yields on the recommended rates and half rate treatments plots even though were higher than on the control plots, there was no significant difference between them. This suggests that the higher stover weight and grain yields obtained on these plots were as a result of the availability of higher N and P in the recommended rates of fertilizers and half rates of fertilizers and the manure.

### Economic analysis

The economic analysis of maize production on both the bullock and manual tillage systems and the various fertilizers using the benefit-cost ratio is shown in Table-6. The bullock tillage system for 2008 had a net benefit-cost ratio of (1.5) and net benefit of seven hundred and three Ghana cedis and thirteen pesewas (GHC 703.13)



which are both more than the manual tillage system's net benefit- cost ratio of (1.1) and net benefit of five hundred and twelve Ghana cedis (GHC 512.00). Even though there

were higher net benefit-cost ratio and net benefits for both tillage systems in 2009, the trend was similar.

**Table-6.** Comparing the economic returns of the two tillage systems.

	Manual		Bullocks	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Yield/ha (Kg)	1925.50	2446.30	2317.60	2862.50
Price per kg	0.50	0.60	0.50	0.60
Gross benefit	962.75	1467.78	1158.80	1717.50
<b>Cost of production</b>				
Ploughing	30.00	40.00	30.00	40.00
Seed	17.50	20.00	17.50	20.00
NPK	105.00	135.00	105.00	135.00
Sulphate of Ammonia	48.00	55.00	48.00	55.00
Herbicides	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Labour	102.00	136.00	102.00	136.00
Harvesting	15.00	18.00	15.00	18.00
shelling/threshing	94.00	124.00	95.00	148.00
Winnowing and bagging	19.25	24.46	23.17	28.62
<b>Total cost</b>	450.75	572.46	455.67	600.62
<b>Net benefit</b>	512.00	895.32	703.13	1116.88
<b>B/C ratio</b>	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.9

The higher net benefit-cost ratios from the production of maize on these tillage system in 2009 compared to 2008 could be due to higher yields of the crop and higher price for output. It was also observed that in both years the cost of ploughing and harrowing using the bullocks was higher than for manual tillage system but the higher grain yield in the former system was enough to pay off the extra cost incurred. In this study, the net benefit-cost ratios of both tillage systems are more than 1 indicating that the production of maize on each of them is profitable but the bullock tillage system is a better option as it had higher net benefit-cost ratios in each year than the manual system.

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on this study, it can be concluded that tillage loosened the soil which lowered the bulk density and increased the porosity of the soil. Bullock plough significantly increased the yield components of maize such as cob length and number of grains per cob which translated into higher maize yield than the manual tillage system. Comparatively, Bullock plough with a higher tillage depth than the manual was better in improving these soil properties.

Similarly, the combination of organic (3 tons/ha) and half the recommended rate of in-organic fertilizer gave maize yields which were comparable to the full

recommended rates of in-organic fertilizer but higher than the organic alone. However, for increase in maize yields in the subsequent years, the combination of both the in-organic fertilizer and the animal manure was found to be more appropriate.

It was also found that producing maize on both bullock and manual tillage systems were profitable since the benefit-cost ratio was more than one (1). However, the bullock plough was more profitable as it had higher benefit-cost ratios and benefits than the manual tillage system.

## REFERENCES

- Agboola A. A. and Keyode G. O. 1981. Effect of different nitrogen levels, plant population and soil nutrient status on yield and yield components of maize in different ecological zones of Nigeria. *Fertilizer Research*. 2: 177-191.
- Ahn P. M. and Hentze B. 1990. No tillage, Minimum tillage and their influence on soil properties. In: *Organic matter management and tillage in Humid and sub-humid Africa*. IBSRAM Proceedings No. 10, Bangkok, IBSRAM. pp. 341-349.





- Ayelew A. and Dejene T. 2012. Combined application of organic and in-organic fertilizers to increase yields of barley and improve soil properties Fereze in Southern Ethiopia. *Innovative Systems Design and Engineering*. 3(1): 25-34.
- Bobobee F. Y. H. 1999. Role of draft animal power in Ghanaian agriculture. In: *Conservation tillage with animal traction, a resource book of the Animal Traction Network for Eastern and Southern Africa (ATNESA)* edited by P. G. Kaumbutho and T. E. Simalenga. pp. 173-179.
- Brady N. C. 1974. *Nature and properties of soil*. 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. Collier Macmillan Publication. pp. 456-475.
- Chang S. C. 1976. P in sub-merged P nutrition and fertilizing rice. The fertility of paddy fields and fertilizer application for rice. *Asian and Pacific bulletin on food and fertilizer*. pp. 68-72.
- Diaz-Zorita and M. 2000. Effect of deep tillage and N fertilizer on dry land corn productivity. *Soil & Tillage Res*. 54: 11-19.
- Ellmer F., Peschle H., Konn W. Chmielewski F. M. and Baumecker M. 2000. Tillage and fertilizer effects on Sanady soils. Review and selected results of long term experiments at Humboldt-University *Journal of Plant nutrition and soil Sci*. 163: 267-272.
- Ekboir J., Boa K. and Dankyi A.A. 2002. Impacts of No-Till Technologies in Ghana. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT. p. 32.
- Ekeki G. K. and Kombiok J. M. 2006. Base Line Survey on Conservation Agriculture (CA) in Ghana, CARE International, -Ghana, Tamale, north Ghana Office.
- Ermani P. R., Cimellio B. and Maeshi I. 2002. Corn yield as affected by liming and tillage systems on an acid Brazilian Oxisol. *Agron. J*. 94: 305-309.
- FAO. 1998. *World reference Base for Soil resources*. World Resources Reports No. 84. FAO, Rome, Italy. pp 88.
- Gupta J. P. and Gupta G. K. 1986. Effect of tillage and mulching on soil environment and cowpea seedling growth under acid conditions. *Soil and Tillage Res*. 28: 329-346.
- Horrocks P. R. and Zuber S. 1970. Corn shelling percentage studies Missouri Agric Experimental Station Research bulletin. No. 976.
- Ike I. F. and Aremu J. A. 1990. Soil physical Properties as influenced by tillage practices. *Samaru J. Agric Res*. 7: 67-74
- Klute A. 1982. Tillage effects on hydraulic properties of soil. In: P. W. Unger and van D. M. Doren (Eds.) *ASA Special Publication*.
- Kombiok J. M. 2005. *Tillage and Cropping Systems Effects on Soil Moisture, Nitrogen Fixation and Yield of Cowpea Intercropped with Maize in Northern Ghana*. PhD, Thesis, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Kumasi, Ghana.
- Kombiok J. M., Safo E. Y. and Quansah C. 2005. Yield and nitrogen Fixation of Cowpea as Affected by Tillage and Cropping Systems in Northern Savanna Zone of Ghana. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*. 7: 97-108.
- Mahra P. O. 2007. Influence of Tillage Methods and different N sources on growth and yield of maize. *Field Crops Research*. 3: 81-86.
- Matsushina S. 1976. Experiment on heavy dressing of N successive growth stages of rice plant for high yielding. University of Tokyo Press. pp. 12-15.
- Mutua J. M. and Conwell P. A. 1999. Dynamic steering response of animal-drawn ploughs. In: P. G. Kaumbutho and T. E. Simalenga (Eds). *Conservation tillage with animal traction. A resource book of ATNESA*. pp. 130-135.
- Nicou R. and Chopart J. L. 1979. Water management methods for soils of Senegal. In: *Soil tillage and crop production*. R. Lal (Ed.) IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. Proc. Ser. 2: 248-257.
- Oad F. C., Buriro U. A. and Agha S. K. 2004. Effect of organic and in-organic fertilizer application on maize fodder production. *Asia Journal Plant Sci*. 3: 375-377.
- Ofori C. S. 1993. *Soil tillage in Africa: Needs and challenges*. FAO Bulletin No. 69, Rome, Italy.
- Ologunde O. O. and Ogunlela V. B. 1985. The response to the rate, timing and method of Nitrogen fertilization by maize in the Southern Guinea Savanna. *Nigeria Agric Journal*. 19/20: 64- 74.
- Powell D. T. 2005. Effects of Nitrogen source and goat manure on growth and yield of maize. *Soil and Plant Science*. 16: 811-816.
- RELC. 2010. *Annual Planning Session Report*. Research Extension Linkage Committee. pp. 23-25.
- SARI. 1998. *Annual Report*. Savanna Agricultural Research Institute. pp. 106-108.
- SARI. 2008. *Annual Report*. Savanna Agricultural Research Institute. pp 86-92.



Shah S. T H., Zamir, M. S. I, Waseem M. Ali A. Tahir M and Kahalid W. B. 2009. Growth and Yield response of maize to organic and in-organic sources. Pak. J. Sci. 7(2): 108-111.

Unger P. W. and McCalla T. M. 1980. Conservation tillage systems. *Advances in Agronomy* 33:1-57.

Wesley R. A., Smith I. A. and Spurlock S. R. 1993. Economic analysis of irrigation and deep tillage in soybean production systems on clay soils. *Soil and Tillage Res.* 28: 63-78.